Serum PSA level in Prostatic lesions with Histopathological correlation in Gujarat

Dr. Alpeshpuri P. Goswami*, Dr. G. Rupala***, Dr. Nutanbala N. Goswami**

*Department of Pathology, **Department of Pharmacology, Govt. Medical College, Bhavnagar, *** Department of Pathology, Shri M P
Shah Medical College, Jamnagar Gujarat, India.

Abstracts: Background: Prostate specific antigen (PSA) has been widely used in the diagnosis and management of patients with prostate cancer. It may be elevated in other prostatic diseases and surgical procedures. PSA exists in two forms, a major bound form (cPSA) and a free form (fPSA). Objectives: The objective of the study was to determine the relationship between serum fPSA levels and histologic findings in biopsy specimens of men with prostatic disease. Material and methods: This study includes 80 cases of prostatic diseases and 20 controls for the study were taken from the patients admitted in surgical wards with no prostate related complain, in different age were planned for transurethral resection of prostate (TURP). All the cases and controls were evaluated by serum prostate specific antigen level. Results: The median serum fPSA values for control, malignant and nonmalignant lesions were 3.66 \pm 0.029 ng/ml, 20.33 \pm 0.0106 ng/ml and 6.47 \pm 0.8127 ng/ml respectively. Mean serum PSA values in cases of prostatic hyperplasia with or without dysplasia (PIN: Prostatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia I to III), prostatitis, well to moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma and poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma were 6.49 ng/ml, 5.35 ng/ml, 18.92 ng/ml and 31.6 ng/ml respectively. Cut off value of serum PSA 4 ng/ml the sensitivity, specifically and positive predictive value were 100 % and 14.28 % respectively while using 10 ng/ml the findings were 77.77 %, 92.95% and 58.33% respectively. Difference is highly significant between control cases and nonmalignant cases, (p < 0.001) as well as between nonmalignant cases and malignant cases (p < 0.001). Conclusions: In cases of prostatic hyperplasia, chronic prostatitis and prostatic malignancy serum fPSA value increases significantly (P < 0.001) above the standard (0 to 4 ng/ml) as compare to control and nonmalignant group. Statistically there is significant difference in serum fPSA values between control cases and non malignant cases as well between nonmalignant cases and malignant cases of prostate, but when serum PSA values are between 4.0 to 10.0 ng/ml. [Goswami A et al. NJIRM 2011; 2(4) : 33-38]

Key Words: Benign prostatic hyperplasia, fPSA, prostate cancer, prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia.

Author for correspondence: Dr. Alpeshpuri P. Goswami, Department of Pathology, Government Medical College, Bhavnagar, Gujarat – 364001. India. E-mail: dralpeshgosai79@yahoo.co.in

eISSN: 0975-9840

Introduction: Tumour markers are substances that can be detected in higher than normal amount in the blood, urine or body tissue of some patient with certain type of cancer. A tumour marker may be produced by a tumor itself or by the body in response to the presence of cancer.¹

Prostate specific antigen is a protein produced by both normal and abnormal prostate cells. The prostate specific antigen level in the blood may be elevated in men who have prostatitis, prostatic hyperplasia or malignant growth in the prostate. While PSA does not allow doctor to distinguish between benign prostatic condition (which are very common in older man) and cancer, an

elevated serum PSA level may indicate that other tests are necessary to determine whether cancer is present.¹

Wang M C et al² suggested the potential significance of PSA in clinical application, as initial results indicted that this prostate antigen, although a eutopic component of the prostate, may play a role in the detection of prostate cancer. This attention was turned to the development of the PSA blood test and they were developed a serological test allowing PSA to be measured in the serum. Catalona et al³ reported in an expanded study that PSA screening is effective in detection of organ confined, early stage prostate cancer.

In Chu et al⁴ confirmed by immunoelectrophoresis method and by ELISA method that only tissue of prostate origin contained significant amount of PSA and no statistically significant difference in PSA level was found among normal, benign and malignant prostatic lesions.

The American cancer society in 1992 recommended the use of PSA and DRE in the annual physical examination for men 50 years or older. Study was conducted in mind keeping objective to access the diagnostic accuracy of serum PSA in prostatic lesions. To correlate the serum PSA level findings with histopathological diagnosis.

Material and Methods: This prospective, observational, hospital-based cohort study was carried out after prior approval by Institutional Ethics Committee. In the present study 80 cases of prostatic disease and 20 controls in different age groups admitted to the general surgery wards of Guru Gobindsingh Hospital, Jamnagar, were enrolled in the study between January 2003 to October 2004.

The presenting complaints of cases with prostatic disease were hesitancy, dysuria, frequency, urgency, dribbling of urine after completing urination, incomplete emptying sensation etc. All patients were admitted in surgical wards of Guru Gobind Singh Hospital, Jamnagar. Controls for the study were taken from the patients admitted in surgical wards with no prostate related complaints. All patients were followed up in wards till discharge from the hospital. In all the cases detailed history was taken and examination was performed, which included digital rectal examination. Control group had normal digital rectal examination. Consent of all the pateints was taken. All the cases and controls were evaluated by serum prostate specific antigen level. The test kit of monobind from LILAC, based on principle of ELISA was used and were investigated routine hematological investigations, radiological investigations including volume and size of prostate measured by Ultrasonography.

Specimens of both study and control group were received for histopathological study in Pathology

Department of Shri M. P. Shah Medical College, Jamnagar. Histological classification of prostate tumours is according to WHO classification. Statistically P < 0.05 is significant.

Result: Out of 80 patients studied, age distribution in cases of prostatic disease group, the maximum patients were in 7th decade and minimum in 5th decade and in control group were from 7th and 8th decade age group. Age specific mean serum PSA value for different age group were calculated from the 20 controls who did not have any prostatic complains. The mean value of serum PSA was increased with age and above the 7th decade it was more than 4 ng/ml, but none had more than 10 ng/ml although there was no any linear relation found with the age.

Detail of PSA level in Malignant and non malignant case is shown in table 1 and serum PSA level and mean age in control cases and in cases with prostatic lesion is shown in table 2

Table No.1: Showing relation of serum PSA level with histopathological diagnosis of prostatic lesions.

Serum PSA level (ng/ml)	Histopathol	No. of	
	Malignant cases	Nonmalignant cases	patients
< 4	0	17	17
4 to 10	2	49	51
> 10	7	5	12
Total	9	71	80

Table No.2: Showing mean serum PSA level and mean age in control cases and in cases with prostatic lesions.

Group	Mean Age (year)	Mean Serum PSA ± S.D. (ng/ml)	
Control cases	60.5 ± 4.7	3.66 ± 0.029	
Malignant cases	70.3 ± 5.6	20.33 ± 0.0106	
Nonmalignant cases	68.1 ± 8.1	6.47 ± 0.8127	

In the patients with prostatic hyperplasia the mean serum PSA value was higher than the mean value of controls in particular age groups, and all serum PSA value were higher than the cut off level 4.0

eISSN: 0975-9840

ng/ml and with carcinoma of prostate the mean serum PSA values were very high as compare to the controls in particular age group. The maximum mean value obtained was 29.3 ng/ml.

In our study we were found that the mean serum PSA values were very high in malignancies followed by in prostatic hyperplasia with PIN III then in prostatic hyperplasia with chronic prostatitis. Although all mean serum PSA values were crossing the cut off level of 4.0 ng/ml in patients with any prostatic lesion as shown in Table-3

Table No.3: Showing mean serum PSA values in different prostatic lesions and in control group.

 			0 I		
Study Group			Mean		
			value		
		S.PSA			
Control group:	20	3.66			
Prostatic lesions :					
Prostatic hyperplasia			4.09		
Prostatic hyperplasia with	17	7.80			
chronic Prostatitis					
Chronic prostatitis	02	5.38			
Prostatic hyperplasia	PIN I	20	5.82		
with dysplasia with or	PIN II	12	6.38		
without chronic	PIN III	04	10.72		
prostatitis					
Malignancy:welltomodera	08	18.92			
differentiated adenocarci					
Malignancy:poorlydiffere	01	31.60			
adenocarcinoma					

Difference in PSA level is highly significant between control cases and nonmalignant cases, (p < 0.001) as well as between nonmalignant cases and malignant cases (p < 0.001).

Discussion: Prostate cancer is the most common form of cancer among men. Although most prostate cancers are relatively slow growing and remain clinically unrecognized, their course is often unpredictable in terms of its spread of progression, perhaps because of the considerable heterogeneity of the histological grade and a multitude of other factors that influence tumor growth. Prostate cancer is very uncommon before the age of 50 years, but its frequency climbs steeply with age to

peak in the 9th decade for both incidence and mortality rate.⁹

Two of the reasons for the high mortality are that many patients have incurable disease at the time of diagnosis and patients with potentially curable tumors are rarely symptomatic. Chodak G¹⁰ suggested that to reduce mortality from this disease, screening has frequently been recommended for asymptomatic men in the high risk age group.

Prostate specific antigen is the initial screening test and most useful marker for early detection of prostate cancer. Although PSA is invaluable marker for screening, it is prostate specific but not cancer specific, since PSA may also be elevated in prostatic hyperplasia. The discrimination between prostate carcinoma and prostatic hyperplasia is potentially more problematic in patients with serum PSA values between 4.1 to 9.9 ng/ml. Several other methods have been proposed to identify cancer patients with intermediate serum PSA level. ¹¹

Age specific reference range of serum PSA in control cases in the present study were as follow: < 50 yrs (2.0 ± 0.01 ng/ml), 50 to 59 yrs (2.77 ± 0.12 ng/ml vs 0 – 3.0 to 0 -3.8 ng/ml), 60 to 69 yrs (3.36 ± 0.02 ng/ml vs 0 - 4.0 to 0 -5.4 ng/ml), 70 to 79 yrs (4.08 ± 0.08 ng/ml vs 0 – 5.0 to 0 – 6.9 ng/ml), \geq 80 yrs (5.90 ± 0.8 ng/ml vs 0 – 8.8 ng/ml) in other studies 12,13,14

Similar findings has been observed by other studies showing increase in serum PSA level with age. The values of serum PSA in the present study are relatively close to the findings of Richardson et al. ¹²The higher values in previous studies of may be due to racial difference as they studied cases in white population. ^{13,14}

In the present study maximum patients with prostatic lesion were in the 7th decade of life (46.25%). The findings of our study is consistent with the findings of Gil et al. (42.9%).¹⁵

In our study mean serum PSA values in cases of prostatic hyperplasia with or without dysplasia (PIN I to III), prostatitis, well to moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma and poorly

eISSN: 0975-9840

differentiated adenocarcinoma were (6.49 ng/ml vs 35.95 ± 4.03 ng/ml), ¹⁶ (5.35 ng/ml vs 8.48 vs 8.03 ng/ml), ^{17,18} (18.92 ng/ml vs > 100 ng/ml) ^[16] and (31.6 ng/ml vs 56.22 ± 4.29 ng/ml) ¹⁶ respectively.

The serum PSA value in cases of prostatitis in the present study is consistant with other studies but the slight lower value was found in the present study because as in other studies, no criteria regarding percentage of inflammatory process were considered in present study. Finding of present study are consistent with the study of Emokpae et al but as compare to present study he found high serum PSA values witch may be because of recial difference in study group or technical difference of serum PSA analysis as he used electrochemiluminescence assay technique. 16

In the present study the mean serum PSA values in cases of prostate hyperplasia were higher than values in control cases for particular age groups. Mehmet et al. studied the correlation between PSA and age to analyze serum PSA levels of men proven to have benign prostatic hyperplasia and to document any correlation between PSA and age. They concluded that patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia had mean serum PSA values higher than the control cases. As the age increased the mean serum PSA value in the patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia was increased. The findings of present study were consistent with the findings of Mehmet et al. ¹⁴

In our study malignant cases of prostate the mean age was 70.3 ± 5.6 year and mean PSA was 20.33 ± 4.8 mg/ml whereas in nonmalignant cases the mean age was 68.1 ± 8.1 year and mean serum PSA was 6.47 ± 3.5 ng/ml. Similar findings were observed by other studies. Gil et al. carried out a Multicentre study on the detection of prostate cancer by digital rectal examination and prostate specific antigen in the men with or without urinary symptoms and found mean serum PSA value 19 ng/ml at mean age 72.7 ± 8.5 year in malignant cases and 6.5 ng/ml at mean age 69.5 ± 7.75 ng/ml in non malignant cases of prostate.

Muhittin et al. carried out diagnostic approach to prostate cancer using total prostate specific antigen-based parameter together. He found mean serum PSA value 21.5 \pm 4.21 ng/ml at mean age 66.9 \pm 7.9 years in malignant cases and 9.61 \pm 0.74 ng/ml at mean age 63.6 \pm 5.9 years in non malignant cases. ¹¹

In the present study detection rate of prostatic malignancy was 3.92% (2 out of 51 case) when serum PSA values were between 4.0 ng/ml to 10 ng/ml whereas detection rate was 58.33% (7 out of 12 cases) when serum PSA values were above 10 ng/ml. Cooner et al. found the prostatic malignancy detection rate 38.1% and 65.6% when serum PSA values between 4 to 10 ng/ml and above 10 ng/ml respectively in cases suspicious for malignancy by digital rectal examination, while the rate was 5.5% and 31.3% when serum PSA values between 4 to 10 ng/ml and above 10 ng/ml respectively in cases not suspicious for malignancy on digital rectal examination.¹⁹

Braver et al. found the prostatic malignancy detection rate 22% and 67% when serum PSA values between 4 to 10 ng/ml and above 10 ng/ml respectively in cases suspicious either by digital rectal examination or ultrasonography or both. ²⁰

The finding of present study are consistent with other studies when using serum PSA cut off level 10 ng/ml. But the detection rate of other studies is higher when using serum PSA cut off level 4 ng/ml especially in those selected cases suspicious for malignancy on digital rectal examination or ultrasonography or both. This is because of in present study all cases were selected whether suspicious for malignancy by other parameter or not. ^{19,20}

In this study using cut off value of serum PSA 4 ng/ml the sensitivity, specifically and positive predictive value were (100 % vs 86.7% to 93.58%, 23.94 % vs 21.05% to 37% and 14.28 % vs 43.4% to 60.53%) respectively while using 10 ng/ml the findings were (77.77 % vs 44.90% to 63.9%, 92.95% vs 68.42% to 73.1% and 58.33 % vs 60.2% to 64.71%) respectively. 21,17,22

We have calculated at different cut off values because the results obtained at serum PSA level between 4.0 to 10 ng/ml were not diagnostic of malignancy. The findings of the present study were

eISSN: 0975-9840

consistent with other studies^{19,20} shows that as the cut off value of serum PSA is raised the sensitivity of the test is decreased but the specifically and positive predictive value increased. This suggests that increasing cut off level increases the cancer detection rate.

Prostate malignancy is most common form of cancer with increasing age of men. Its early detection reduces mortality and morbidity. Serum PSA measurement help much for screening for prostate malignancy but serum PSA level increases with age as well as many other factors and prostatic lesions affect serum PSA level. So its specificity can be increased with increasing cut off level or using age specific reference range. However serum PSA as a single most screening parameter is not sufficient to screen for prostatic malignancy.

Conclusion: Serum PSA increases with age in cases not having any prostate related complains. In cases of prostatic hyperplasia and chronic prostatitis serum PSA value increases significantly (P < 0.001) above the standard (0 to 4 ng/ml) and also above the age specific reference ranges but in majority of cases the values are below 10 ng/ml. In cases of prostatic malignancy, serum PSA value increases significantly (P< 0.001) as compare to control and nonmalignant group. In majority of cases it is above 10 ng/ml. For detection of prostatic malignancy by serum PSA, the specificity and positive predictive value increases with raising the cut off level from 4 ng/ml to 10 ng/ml. Statistically there is significant difference in serum PSA values between control cases and non malignant cases as well between nonmalignant cases and malignant cases of prostate, but when serum PSA values are between 4.0 to 10.0 ng/ml it makes interpretation difficult as serum PSA values of some nonmalignant cases and malignant cases are overlapping here. Serum PSA estimation is invaluable test for detection of prostatic malignancy but as it is affected by many factors and also increases in prostatic non malignant lesions, limiting its diagnostic accuracy especially when the values obtained between 4.0 to 10.0 ng/ml. In such cases it requires help of other parameters also to consist or rule out the diagnosis.

References:

- 1. Tumour marker fact sheet. National strategies. 2004.
- 2. Wang MC, Valenzuela LA, Murphy GP. Purification of a human prostate specific antigen. J Invest Urol 1979:17;159-4.
- 3. Catalona WJ, Smith DS, Ratliff TL. Detection of organ confined prostate cancer is increased through prostate specific antigen based screening. JAMA 1973:270;948-6.
- 4. Chu TM, Wang MC, Lec CL. Enzyme markers for prostate cancer. Cancer detection and prevention.1929:2;693-13.
- 5. Mettlin CJ, Jones GW, Anerette H. Defining and updating the american cancer society guidelines for the cancer related check up. Prostate and endometrial cancer. C A cancer J. Clin 1993:43:42-4.
- 6. Tumours of the prostate. WHO. 1980.
- 7. Kasahara Y, Nakamura RM. Immunoassays and Immunochemistry in clinical diagnosis and management by Laboratory medoths, editor Hemmy JM, 19th edition. Prism Indian Edition 1994:851-25.
- 8. Bostwick DG, Amin MB. Prostate and seminal vesicles in Anderson's Pathology, editor Damsanov Ivan, 10th edition. Published by Harcourt Bruce & Company. Asia, Mosby Philadelphia. 1995:2;2197-25.
- Thomas AS, John EM. Adenocarcinoma of prostate in campbell's Urology, 6th edition. Published by W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia. 1992:2;1159-1221.
- 10. Chodak G. Prostate cancer. To screen or not to screen? Acta oncologica 1990: 19;285-2.
- 11. Muhittin AS, Ozkan O, Abdulah O, Bedrettin S, Seyfettin I, Adnan H et al. Diagnostic Approach to Prostate cancer using total Prostate specific antigen. Based parameter together. Annals of clinical & Laboratory science 2002:32;22-11.
- 12. Richardson TD, Osterling JE. Age specific reference ranges for serum prostate specific antigen. Urol clin North Am 1997:24;339-12.
- 13. Osterling JE, Jacobson SJ, Chute CG. Serum PSA in a community based population of healthy men. Establishment of age specific reference ranges. JAMA 1993:270;860-4.
- 14. Mehmet CU, Demokan, Cetinkaya M. The correlation between prostate specific antigen and age. Eur Urol 1997:32;416-3.

- 15. Gil MJ, Allepuz C, Lioja LA. A multicenter study on the detection of prostate cancer by digital rectal examination and prostate specific antigen in the men with or without urinary symptoms. Eur Urol 1997:32;133-6.
- 16. Emokpae MA, Das SC, Torok, Mohmed AZ, Hassan AL. Early detection of prostate cancer evaluating the diagnostic performance of prostate specific antigen by comparing with histological technique among affricans. Indian J clin biochem 2004:19;62-4.
- 17. Bozemen CB, Carver BS, Eastham JA, Venable DD. Treatment of chronic prostatitis lowers serum prostate specific antigen. J Urol 2002:167;1723-6.
- 18. Simardi LH, Tobias MM, Kappaz GT, Taschner GP, Potts JM, Wroclawski ER. Influence of asymptomatic histologic prostatitis on serum prostate specific antigen. A prospective study. Urol 2004:64;1098-3.
- 19. Cooner WH. Prostate specific antigen, digital rectal examination and transrectal ultrasonic examination of the prostate cancer detection. Urol 1991:12:3.
- 20. Brawer MK, Chetner MP, Beatie J, Buchner DM, Vessella RL, Lange PH. Screening for prostate carcinoma with prostate specific antigen. J Urol 1992:147; 841-5.
- 21. Roger P, Breul J, Hartung R. Prostate specific antigen density and age specific prostate specific antigen value, The solution of prostate cancer screening ? J Urol 1997:125;287-5.
- 22. Amayo A, Obara W. Serum prostate specific antigen levels in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia and cancer of prostate. East Afr Med J 2004:81;22-6.

eISSN: 0975-9840