Nosocomial Infections In NICU: Profile And Risk Factors Alka Bhambri*, Nisha Pandey**

*Associate Professor, Department Of Pediatrics, Rohilkhand Medical College And Hospital, Bareilly (UP), India, **Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Mayo Institute of Medical Sciences, Barabanki (UP), India

Abstracts: <u>Background</u>: Nosocomial infections (NIs) in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) are one of the leading causes of mortality and long term morbidity in developing countries. We retrospectively analyzed data of NICU patients from June 2013- June 2014. Total 120 patients met inclusion criteria. 73 episodes of nosocomial infections were documented in 67 patients. Eye infection and umbilical sepsis was most common infection followed by systemic blood infection. *Klebsiella Pneumoniae* and *E. coli* were the most common organisms causing sepsis. *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* were the most common organisms responsible for eye infection and umbilical sepsis respectively. Imipenem, Amikacin and Vancomycin showed good sensitivity against most of gram negative and gram positive organisms respectively. [Pandey N NJIRM 2016; 7(3): 30 - 33]

Key Words: Nosocomial infection, Umbilical sepsis, Eye infection.

Author for correspondence: Dr. Nisha Pandey, Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Mayo Institute of Medical Sciences, Barabanki (UP), India. <u>Email:</u> nishaimsbhu@gmail.com

Introduction: Nosocomial infections (NIs) in NICUs have become increasingly responsible for associated morbidities and often adversely affecting outcome of NICU graduates. This increases the cost of health care delivery by increasing the resource consumption and prolonging the hospital stay. Although NIs occur universally, their prevalence varies greatly being much more in developing countries.

Newborns are the most vulnerable population in pediatric age group, especially those requiring NICU admission, where use of therapeutic interventions, antibiotics and immature immune system increase the risk of NIs. The major organisms responsible varies from place to place and time to time in same NICU as well ^{1, 2}.

Hence effective surveillance is important to evaluate the risk factors associated with NIs.

Material and Methods: Retrospective analysis of data from June 2013 to June 2014 was carried out for patients admitted in NICU. All the babies admitted in NICU who were born after 32 weeks of gestational age, not meeting the exclusion criteria, were included in study.

The CDC-NNIS system defines NIs as a localized or systemic condition¹ that results from an adverse reaction to the presence of an infectious agent or its toxin and² that was not present or included at the time of admission to the hospital. Patients who had not been infected³ nor have they been in the incubation period at the time of admission.

Exclusion criteria:-

- 1. Born by emergency lower segment Cesarean section
- 2. History of leaking per vaginum, fever, chorioamnionitis in mother
- 3. History of trial of labor or instrumentations before coming to hospital.
- 4. Patients with less than 72 hrs stay in NICU
- 5. Suspected/or proven sepsis at admission
- 6. Patients developing sepsis within 72 hrs of admission.

Suspected / proven sepsis (definition):- Baby who was admitted to NICU with blood culture positive at admission or sepsis screen positive at admission.

Babies who had positive blood culture after 3rd day of admission were defined as patients with NI in present study. The data included patient's name, age, gender, mode of delivery, history of maternal sepsis.

Descriptive statistics were used and there was no conflict of interest. No external funding was done.

Results:

eISSN: 0975-9840

Total of 480 patients were admitted in NICU, out of which 360 patients met exclusion criteria and were not included in the study, so data of total 120 patients was analyzed for NIs. 73 episodes of NIs were documented in 67 patients.

Infection was more common in males (55.8%) compared to females (44.16%). Most of them were premature neonates (60%) and low birth wt. (63%) (Table 1).

Table 1: Demographic profile of neonates with Nosocomial Infection

Character	Categories	No.	%
Gender (n=67)	Male	38	55.6
	Female	29	44.16
G A	>32-36.6	40	60
	>37- who	27	40
Mode of delivery	NVD	39	58.3
Widde of delivery	LSCS	28	
	LSCS	20	41.66
Birth wts	1000-1500	08	11.67
	1500-2500	35	52.5
	>2.5 kg	24	35.8
	4 10 4	20	42.5
Length of Hospital stay	4-10 days	29	
	>10 days	38	57.5
Outcome at discharge	Recovery	53	78.3
	Death	5	7.5
	LAMA/Absconded	9	14.16

Most common organism causing NI was *Chlamydia* trachomatis causing neonatal conjunctivitis followed by umbilical sepsis. Fifteen episodes of nosocomial

blood stream infections were found followed by positive endotracheal (ET) tube culture and urinary tract infection (UTI). Meningitis was least commonly documented in our study. Fungal culture was not sent. Most common organism causing systemic NI were Klebsiella pneumoniae and E. coli, whereas Staphylococcus aureus was the most common organism responsible for umbilical sepsis and positive ET tube culture (Table 2).

Antibiotic sensitivity pattern revealed that *Staphylococcus aureus* was nearly 100% sensitive to Vancomycin with moderate sensitivity to Imipenem and Aminoglycosides. Whereas Gram negative organisms were 100% sensitive to Imipenem and Amikacin with moderate sensitivity to cephalosporin. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* sensitivity pattern is not done at our centre (Table 3).

Table 2: Distribution of organisms causing NIs (n=73)

Organism infection	Umblical sepsis	Blood stream	ET tube culture	Meningitis	UTI	Eye infection
Staph aureus	12		3			
Staph epidermidis	6					
Klebsiella		7	1	1	1	
E. coli	3	5	1		1	
Acinetobacter		3			1	
Chlamydia trachomatis						28

Table 3

	Gm+ve Staph. <u>Aureus</u> (n=15)		Gm-ve E.coli (n=10)		Gm- <u>ve</u>		
					Klebs	Klebsiella (n=10)	
					(n=1		
	S	R	S	R	S	R	
Ampicillin	0	15	0	10	0	10	
Cefotaxime	5	10	7	3	6	4	
Vancomycin	15	0	0	10	0	10	
Gentamycin	1	14	5	5	3	7	
Amikacin	1	14	8	2	10	0	
Pipercillin tazobactum	4	11	9	1	9	1	
Imipenem	14	1	10	0	10	0	

Discussion: NI in ICU is a major world health problem. In our study, incidence of NIs was 15.2% as compared to 38.5% reported by Mohammed et at ³. Incidence

rates vary from 6.2 -50.7% as reported by various studies $^{4-7}$.

31

In our study LBW and prematurity were the most important risk factors for NIs. Similar findings have been reported by other studies too⁸ -11. These babies have immature immune system and they are more exposed to various therapeutic interventions, exposing them to higher risk of NIs ^{12, 13}.

We found that males were more prone to NIs compared to females, similar findings have been reported by other studies too ¹⁴. However study at Zig Zag University revealed no effect of gender on NIs ³.

Most common NIs were eye infection and umbilical sepsis. Others have reported blood stream infection and respiratory infection as common NIs ³. Gram negative organisms (*Klebsiella pneumonia & E.coli*) were most commonly responsible for NIs, *Staphylococcus aureus* was the next in frequency. Similar findings were reported from the study at Zaga ZigUniversity ³. Whereas other studies have reported Gram positive organisms as the most common isolate in developed countries ^{6, 24-34}.

All the isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*⁶ were sensitive to Vancomycin, followed by Imipenem and Cefotaxime. Among Gram negative organism *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* were responsible for nearly equal number of NIs and were universally sensitive to Imipenem and Piperacillin+Tazobactam.

Least sensitivity was shown for Ampicillin and Gentamycin, which has implications in starting empirical antibiotic at NICU admission pending culture sensitivity reports. According to our study, possibly due to over prescription, Ampicillin is no longer preferred option as first line therapy though Amikacin has retained good sensitivity against *E. coli* 8/10 and *Klebsiella* 9/9.

Conclusion: NIs in NICUs are an important factor deciding outcome and surveillance is needed to formulate effective empirical antibiotic policy pending culture reports.

References:

 Adams-Chapman I, Stoll BJ. Nosocomial infections in the nursery. In: Taeusch HW, Ballard RA, Gleason CA, editors. Avery's Diseases of the Newborn. 8th edn. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2005. p. 578–94.

- Korpela JK, Campbell J, Singh N. Health care associated infections. In: Mhairi MG, Mullett MD, Seshia MM, editors. Avery's Neonatology: Pathophysiology and Management of the Newborn. 6th edn. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams Wilkins; 2005. p. 1356–83.
- 3. Garner JS, Jarvis WJ, Emori TG, Horan TC, Hughees JM. CDC definitions for nosocomial infections. *Am J Infect* control 1988; 15: 128-140.
- 4. Mohammed Doaa , S. Omnia , Seifi El .Bacterial nososcomial infections in neonatal intensive care unit , ZagazigUnivewrsity Hospital , Egypt. Egypt Pedtric association Gazette 2014;62:72-79.
- Zafar N, Wallace CM, Kieffer P, Schroeder P, Schootman M, Hamvas A. Improving survival of vulnerable infants increases neonatal intensive care unit nosocomial infection rate. Arch PediatrAdolesc Med 2001;155:1098–104.
- Ferguson JK, Gill A. Risk-stratified nosocomial infection surveillance in a neonatal intensive care unit: report on 24 months of surveillance. J Pediatr Child Health 1996;32:525–31.
- 7. Dal-Bo´ K, Silva RM, Sakae TM. Nosocomial infections in a neonatal intensive care unit in South Brazil. Rev Bras TerIntens 2012;24(4):381–5.
- 8. Abdel-Wahab F, Ghoneim M, Khashaba M, El-Gilany AH, Abdel-Hady D. Nosocomial infection surveillance in an Egyptian neonatal intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect 2013;83(3):196–9.
- Olsen AL, Reinholdt J, Jensen AM, Andersen LP, Jensen ET. Nosocomial infection in a Danish neonatal intensive care unit: a prospective study. ActaPaediatr 2009;98:1294–8.
- 10. Holikar S, Bhaisare K, Deshmukh L. Risk factors for nosocomial sepsis in NICU. Int J Recent Trends SciTechnol 2012;4(3):141–5.
- 11. Auriti C, Ronchetti MP, Pezzotti P, Marrocco G, Quondamcarlo G, Seganti G, et al. Determinants of nosocomial infection in 6 neonatal intensive care units an Italian multicenter prospective cohort study. Infect Control HospEpidemiol 2010;31(9):926–33.
- 12. Yang LR, Peng MJ, Li H, Pang Y. Pathogen distribution and risk factors of nosocomial infections in neonates in the neonatal intensive care unit. Zhongguo Dang Dai ErKeZaZhi 2013;15(2):112–6
- 13. Auriti C, Maccalini A, Di Liso G, et al. Risk factors fornosocomial infections in a neonatal intensive-care unit. J HospInfect 2003;53:25–30.

eISSN: 0975-9840

- 14. Ta´ vora AC, Castro AB, Milita˜o MA, Gira˜o JE, Ribeiro KB, Ta´ vora LG. Risk factors for nosocomial infection in a Brazilian neonatal intensive care unit. Braz J Infect Dis 2008;12(1):75–9.
- 15. Borguesi A, Stronati M. Strategies for the prevention of hospital acquired infections in the neonatal intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect 2008:68:293–300.
- 16. Turkish Neonatal Society; Nosocomial Infections Study Group.Nosocomial infections in neonatal units in Turkey: epidemiology,problems, unit policies and opinions of healthcare workers. Turk JPediatr 2010;52:50–7.
- 17. Clark R, Powers R, White R, Bloom B, Sanchez P, Benjamin JrDK. Nosocomial infection in the NICU: a medical complicationor unavoidable problem? J Perinatol 2004;24:382–8.
- 18. Aziz K, McMillan DD, Andrews W, et al. Variations in rates ofnosocomial infection among Canadian neonatal intensive careunits may be practice-related. BMC Pediatr 2005;5:22.
- 19. Mahfouz AA, Al-Azraqi TA, Abbag FI, Al-Gamal MN, Seef S,Bello CS. Nosocomial infections in a neonatal intensive care unitin south western Saudi Arabia. Eastern Med Health J2010;16:40–3.
- 20. Jeong I, Jeong J, Choi J. Nosocomial infection in a newbornintensive care unit (NICU), South Korea. BMC Infect Dis2006;6:103.
- 21. Kilani RA, Basamad M. Pattern of proven bacterial sepsis in aneonatal intensive care unit in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: a 2-yearanalysis. J Med Liban 2000;48:77–83

Conflict of interest: None

Funding: None

Cite this Article as: Bhambri A, Pandey N. Nosocomial Infections In NICU: Profile And Risk Factors. Natl J Integr Res Med 2016; 7(3): 30 - 33

eISSN: 0975-9840